32.503-10

32.503-10 Establishing alternate liquidation rates.

- (a) The contracting officer must ensure that the liquidation rate is—
- (1) High enough to result in Government recoupment of the applicable progress payments on each billing; and
- (2) Supported by documentation included in the administration office contract file.
- (b) The minimum liquidation rate is the expected progress payments divided by the contract price. Each of these factors is discussed below:
- (1) The contracting officer must compute the expected progress payments by multiplying the estimated cost of performing the contract by the progress payment rate.
- (2) For purposes of computing the liquidation rate, the contracting officer may adjust the estimated cost and the contract price to include the estimated value of any work authorized but not yet priced and any projected economic adjustments; however, the contracting officer's adjustment must not exceed the Government's estimate of the price of all authorized work or the funds obligated for the contract.
- (3) The following are examples of the computation. Assuming an estimated price of \$2,200,000 and total estimated costs eligible for progress payments of \$2,000,000:
- (i) If the progress payment rate is 80 percent, the minimum liquidation rate should be 72.7 percent, computed as follows:

$$\frac{\$2,000,000 \times 80\%}{\$2,200,000} = 72.7\%$$

(ii) If the progress payment rate is 85 percent, the minimum liquidation rate should be 77.3 percent, computed as follows:

$$\frac{\$2,000,000 \times 85\%}{\$2,200,000} = 77.3\%$$

(4) Minimum liquidation rates will generally be expressed to tenths of a percent. Decimals between tenths will be rounded up to the next highest tenth (not necessarily the nearest tenth), since rounding down would produce a

rate below the minimum rate calculated.

[48 FR 42328, Sept. 19, 1983, as amended 52 FR 30077, Aug. 12, 1987; 65 FR 16281, Mar. 27, 2000]

32.503-11 Adjustments for price reduction.

- (a) If a retroactive downward price reduction occurs under a redeterminable contract that provides for progress payments, the contracting officer shall—
- (1) Determine the refund due and obtain repayment from the contractor for the excess of payments made for delivered items over amounts due as recomputed at the reduced prices; and
- (2) Increase the unliquidated progress payments amount for overdeductions made from the contractor's billings for items delivered.
- (b) The contracting officer shall also increase the unliquidated progress payments amount if the contractor makes an interim or voluntary price reduction under a redeterminable or incentive contract.

32.503-12 Maximum unliquidated amount.

- (a) The contracting officer shall ensure that any excess of the unliquidated progress payments over the contractual limitation in paragraph (a) of the Progress Payments clause in the contract is promptly corrected through one or more of the following actions:
 - (1) Increasing the liquidation rate.
- (2) Reducing the progress payment rate.
 - (3) Suspending progress payments.
- (b) The excess described in paragraph (a) above is most likely to arise under the following circumstances:
- (1) The costs of performance exceed the contract price.
- (2) The alternate method of liquidation (see 32.503-9) is used and the actual costs of performance exceed the cost estimates used to establish the liquidation rate.
- (3) The rate of progress or the quality of contract performance is unsatisfactory.
- (4) The rate of rejections, waste, or spoilage is excessive.
- (c) As required, the services of the responsible audit agency or office should